HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL
ORIGINAL JURISDICTION
Dated Nainital the 6th June, 2006
Bail Application No. 464 of 2006
Order on the bail application of the accused.

CRIMINAL SIDE

1. Madho Singh
   S/o Sri Khem Singh
   R/o Niranjani Akhara Road
   Police Station – Kotwali Haridwar

2. Sunil
   S/o Sri Madhoo Singh
   R/o Niranjani Akhara Road
   Police Station – Kotwali, Haridwar …..Applicant

Versus

State of Uttaranchal …..Opposite Party

In Case Crime No. 48 of 2006
U/s 306, 304-B, 201, 120-B, 498-A, 506 I.P.C.
r/w Section ¾ of D.P. Act
Police Station – Kotwali
District – Haridwar

Hon'ble J.C.S.Rawat,J.

Heard Sri Pankaj Miglani-learned counsel for the applicants, learned AGA for the State and Sri Vevek Shukla-Advocate for the complainant and perused the record.

The accused-applicants are father-in-law and brother-in-law respectively. The suicidal note reveals that there was illicit relation in between the husband and Lalita and the deceased was treated cruelly by her husband. It was contended that the compromise which has been stated in the F.I.R. does not reveal that any demand of dowry was made and for the same the present accused-applicants had committed any cruelty to the deceased. There are vague allegations in the F.I.R. It was contended that the suicidal note and the compromise do not reveal that the present accused-applicants had demanded any dowry immediately before the death.

Considering the facts and circumstance of the case in totality, it is a fit case for bail at this stage.

Let the accused-applicants be released on bail on each executing a personal bond and furnishing two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of C.J.M. concerned.

(J.C.S.Rawat,J.)

Dated 06.06.2006
Shiv